Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,824	MASCHEK, HUBERTUS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jordan M. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ali Imam</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 January 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	-
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 50-51, 67-70 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
		•
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With reference to independent claims 50 and 70, the last line of each of these claims, the limitation "beside the lens" created a lack of clarity since two lenses have been previously claimed. From the original claims and the specification as oridinally filed, it is apparent that this is referring to the lens "used for focusing the emitted light" and therefore the changes to these claims, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, were agreed upon to provide additional clarity. It was also agreed to cancel the non-elected claims 51 and 67-69. It was further agreed to add title headings to the specification as per the examiner's amendment.